Math 128B, Wed Feb 10

- Use a laptop or desktop with a large screen so you can read these words clearly.
- In general, please turn off your camera and mute yourself.
- Exception: When we do groupwork, please turn both your camera and mic on. (Groupwork will not be recorded.)
- Please always have the chat window open to ask questions.
- Reading for today: Ch. 14. Reading for Mon: Ch. 15
- PS02 outline due tonight, full version due Mon Feb 15.
- Next problem session Fri Feb 12, 10:00-noon on Zoom.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Exam 1 in 12 days.

Ideals and the ideal test

Definition Let A be a sub**ring** of a ring R. To say that A is an **ideal** of R means that: A closed under R-multiplication, not just A-multiplication for every $r \in R$, and not just every $r \in A$ and every $a \in A$, both ra and ar are in A. Theorem (Ider test) Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a subset of a ring R. Then A is an ideal of R if and only if the following conditions all hold: \blacktriangleright (Closed under subtraction) For all $a, b \in A$, we have $a - b \in A$.

▶ (Closed under R-multiplication) For all $a \in A$ and $r \in R$, we have that $ra \in A$ and $ar \in A$.





(again, all polynomials with even constant term). Then $A = \langle 2, x \rangle$, but A is not principal (again, true but very much not obvious).

In R[x], how did we know that A = {f(x) | f(0)=0} is <x>?

- * Right now, experimentation and hard work.
 - Experimentation shows that the "simplest" element in A is x
 - Once you guess that A = <x>, you can prove that by set equality proof

* Eventually, we'll prove that every ideal of R[x] is principal, and as part of that proof, we'll prove that any nonzero ideal A of R[x] is generated by any nonzero element of lowest possible degree.

Factor rings

Given an ideal A of a ring R, we can define the factor ring R/A as follows.

Set: We define R/A to be the set of (additive) cosets of A in R, i.e., $R/A = \{r + A \mid r \in R\}.$ Operations: For r, s ∈ R, we define Define +, * of two (r + A) + (s + A) = (r + s) + A (r + A)(s + A) = (rs) + A.A so multiplication

We might worry that these operations are not well-defined, but:

Theorem

The above is well-defined, and R/A is a ring.

Note that if R is a ring with unity, then the additive and multiplicative identities of R/A are 0 + A and 1 + A, respectively.

Proof that factor rings are well-defined

As with groups, the hard part is to prove that the operations are well-defined.

$$(r + A) + (s + A) = (r + s) + A$$

$$(r + A)(s + A) = (rs) + A$$

$$(r + A)(s + A) = (rs) + A$$

$$S'pose r' \in r + A, s' \in s + A$$

$$(r' + A)(s' + A)$$

$$= r's' + A$$

$$= (r + q)(s + b) + A$$



=rs+A=(r+A)(s+A)

An example that turns out to be familiar O+k, +k, **Example:** $R = \mathbf{Z}, A = 3\mathbf{Z}$. Then $R/A = \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ has: • Elements: $A = \{ -7, 0, 3, 6, 9 \}$ = { -6,-), -1... Addition: $(z+A)+(h_{A})=$ Multiplication: (2+A)(2+A) = 4+A =

Since addition in any ring is commutative (r+s=s+r), we see that r+A = A+rs+A = A+s

(r+A)(s+A) = (rs+A) = (A+rs) = (A+r)(A+s)

But we don't necessarily have rs+A equal to sr+A, b/c mult need not be commutative.

(-(+A)(-+A) = |+A) = |+A = (2+A)(2+A) (as in p-1) (-+A)(-+A) = |-r+A = r+A

Another example that turns out to be familiar

Example: $R = \mathbf{R}[x]$, $A = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$. $R/A = \mathbf{R}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ has:

Elements: $\chi^2 + A = -I + A, \chi^3 + A = -\chi + A,$

So for any f(x) = R[x], we can reduce f(x) + A to ax+b + A.



 $\begin{aligned} z_{+1} \in \langle x^{2} + 1 \rangle = A \\ \chi^{2} + 1 + A = A \\ \chi^{2} + \lambda = -1 + A \end{aligned}$ So: In R/A, "x^2+1 = 0", i.e., in R/A, we set $x^{2+1} = 0$. So in R/A, "x^2 = -1" i.e., the element x+A of R/A is what we usually call i. rec Calily k-1 (bd-rr) Point: If you remove +A and replace x with i,

we see that $R[x]/\langle x^2+1\rangle$ is isomorphic to the ring of complex numbers.

Let R be a commutative ring, and let A be an ideal of R.

Defn: To say that A is **prime** means that if $a, b \in R$ and $ab \in A$, then either $a \in A$ or $b \in A$. R = P

Defn: To say that A is **maximal** means that $A \neq R$ and if B is an ideal of R and $A \subseteq B \subseteq R$, then either A = B or B = R.



Examples of prime and maximal ideals

Ex: Let $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ be prime. Then $\langle p \rangle = p\mathbf{Z}$ is a prime ideal of \mathbf{Z} because:

Ex: But $\langle p \rangle = p\mathbf{Z}$ is also maximal: Suppose $p\mathbf{Z} \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, *B* is an ideal, and suppose $b \in B$ is not contained in $p\mathbf{Z}$. Then *b* is not a multiple of *p*, and so gcd(b, p) = 1. So by "GCD is a linear combination":

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

But not every prime ideal is maximal

Ex: Let $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]$ and let $A = \langle x \rangle = \{f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x] \mid f(0) = 0\}$. Then A is a prime ideal:

But A is not maximal, since $A \subset \langle 2, x \rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}[x]$.

A is prime if and only if R/A is an integral domain

Let R be a commutative ring with unity and let A be an ideal of R. TFAE:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- 1. A is prime.
- 2. R/A is an integral domain.

A is maximal if and only if R/A is a field

Let R be a commutative ring with unity and let A be an ideal of R. TFAE:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- 1. A is maximal.
- 2. R/A is a field.