Math 127, Wed Mar 10

- Use a laptop or desktop with a large screen so you can read these words clearly.
- In general, please turn off your camera and mute yourself.
- Exception: When we do groupwork, please turn both your camera and mic on. (Groupwork will not be recorded.)
- Please always have the chat window open to ask questions.
- Reading for today: 6.1–6.2.
- Reading for Vor: 6.3–6.4.
- PS05 outline due tonight, full version due Mon Mar 15.

Problem session Fri Mar 12, 10am–noon.

Linear algebra: Questions to resolve

Is it possible for a subspace W to have one basis with 5 vectors and another basis with 7 vectors? In other words, is it possible for the dimension of W to be both 5 and 7?

Is it possible for F⁸ to contain a subspace of dimension 10? In other words, is it possible for a smaller space to have a larger dimension?

Can we find a subspace of F^n that doesn't have a basis at all?

Math 39. OK For F=R/ OK for F=H2?

Thank goodness, it all works

Theorem (Comparison Theorem)

Let W be a subspace of F^n . If $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_s\}$ spans W and $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_\ell\}$ is a linearly independent subset of W, then $\ell \leq s$. I.e.: **ANY** linearly independent subset is no larger than **ANY** spanning set.

Ffield

Why: If $s < \ell$, then we can set up with *s* linear equations in ℓ -variables, which must have a nonzero solution. That nonzero solution contradicts linear independence of $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_\ell\}$.

pan sols



So how can we be sure that every subspace has a basis?

Definition

Let W be a subspace of F^n . A maximal linearly independent subset of W is a linearly independent subset $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ of Wsuch that for any $\mathbf{x} \in W$, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{x}\}$ is linearly dependent.

Theorem

Let W be a subspace of F^n , and suppose $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a maxminal linearly independent subset of W. Then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for W.

(proof omitted)

Corollary

If W is a subspace of F^n , then W has a basis.

One more consequence

Corollary (Subspace Size Theorem) If W is a subspace of a subspace V of F^n , then dim $W \le \dim V \le n$. In particular, any subspace of F^n has dimension at most n. A dim W = H dim V = M

Since dim W = k, there exists a basis B for W with k vectors in it. Since dim V = m, there exists a basis B' for V with m vectors in it. We know that the k vectors in B span W, and that they are linearly independent. We also know that the m vectors in B' span V and that are linearly independent. So: The m vectors in B' span V, and the k vectors in B are a linearly independent set inside V.

By Comparison Theorem, the spanning set B' is bigger than the linearly independent set B, i.e., $m \ge k$.

 $K \leq m$



Erner / in W



Properties of bases: You can use a basis to list all vectors in W, by taking all linear combinations of vectors in that basis. I.e., by definition, those vectors span W.



But mistakes happen:



Motivating Problem

Is there some way that we can detect that an error or errors has occurred? Better yet, is there some way that we can correct an error or errors?

Parity check code

Suppose we have *n* data bits x_1, \ldots, x_n transmit. We can add a parity check bit

$$x_0 = x_1 + \cdots + x_n \pmod{2}$$

to our message, and transmit the (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) . Note:

Any error-free msg
must satisfy this
linear eqn.
Example:
$$h = 7$$

 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$
 $m > q$: $0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |$
 $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ (in F_2) $+ | = -1$



Parity check code detects one error, but not two.

Example: Repetition code

Can we do better and **correct** an error in transmission? Yes, with the **repetition code**. Suppose we want to transmit one data bit $x \in \mathbf{F}_2$. We repeat x msg 1 transm. 111 three times: Yelv 101 So if one error occurs in transmission: reak 1 we can fix it because other two bits still correct (**majority logic**).

I.e., we can correct one error at the cost of transmitting 3 times as much data.

Q: Can we correct errors more cheaply?

Binary linear codes

Definition

We define a **bit** to be an element of F_2 , and we define a **bitstring** of length *n* to be an element of F_2^n .

Definition

A code is a subset C of \mathbf{F}_2^n . Elements (vectors) of a code are called codewords.

I.e., codewords are the possible messages that could have been transmitted without errors. The idea is that if you pick your code well, it should be possible to tell if an error has occurred, and if you pick it really well, it should be possible to correct the error.

Definition

A binary linear code C of length n is a subspace C of \mathbf{F}_2^n .

Standard framework for discussing codes



- 1. Xavier wants to send a bitstring m.
- 2. Xavier **encodes** the message **m** to some codeword $\mathbf{x} \in C$.
- 3. Xavier transmits x, Yolanda receives y.
- 4. Yoland decodes y to the message m', in steps:
 - First, Yolanda corrects y to a valid codeword $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{C}$.
 - Yolanda then reads y' as a message m'.

Algebraic model for errors: Let \mathbf{e}_i be the vector in \mathbf{F}_2^n whose *i*th coordinate is 1 and whose other coordinates are all 0. One error in bit *i* means:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}_i$$
.

Two errors in bits *i* and *j*:

$$\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j.$$

How to define/describe a binary linear code

Two ways:

Definition

Let G be an $n \times k$ matrix over \mathbf{F}_2 . To say that G is the **generator** matrix of C of length n means that C = Col(G).

Definition

Let *H* be a $k \times n$ matrix over \mathbf{F}_2 . To say that *H* is the **parity** check matrix of a binary linear code *C* of length *n* means that C = Null(H).

I.e.: A generator matrix defines a code as a column space, and a parity check matrix defines a code as a nullspace.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Back to our examples

Parity check code: The parity check code of length n + 1 is the nullspace C of the $1 \times (n + 1)$ matrix $H = [1 \dots 1]$. In other words, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_2^{n+1}$ is in C exactly when $H\mathbf{x} = 0$. Encoding is $x_0 = x_1 + \dots + x_n$, transmit (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) . If received message \mathbf{y} satisfies $H\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$, read off bits x_1, \dots, x_n as message; otherwise notify Xavier that there was an error.

Repetition code: The repetition code of length n is the span C of

the column of the generator matrix $G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Encoding the bit x means multiplying Gx, transmit Gx, correct received bits by majority logic, then use any bit as the message bit.

Error-correcting codes in practice



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○